IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of	MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
Axel Andersson et al.) Group Art Unit: 3627
Application No.: 10/705,936) Examiner: RAMSEY REFAI
Filed: November 13, 2003	Confirmation No.: 6572
For: METHOD OF TRACKING IN PRODUCTION IN A PLANT FOR LIQUID FOODS)))

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Official Action dated June 20, 2008, the following remarks are submitted.

The aforementioned Official Action indicates that the claims in this application are directed to two different inventions. As identified in the Official Action, the two inventions are as follows.

Group I invention defined in Claims 1-7, drawn to a method for tracking production of a product including *registering* events in the plant with the work identity and displaying data associated with at least one event of a specific point in time; and

Group II invention defined in Claims 8 and 10-12, drawn to a computer readable medium that contains a program for executing a method including registering the production unit to a material quantity work identity.

Based on the observation that the two inventions are allegedly distinct from one another, a restriction requirement has been imposed requiring an election of one of the two inventions.

Attorney's Docket No. 1027651-000145

Application No. 10/705,936

Page 2

Applicants hereby elect, with traverse, the Group I invention recited in Claims

1-7.

The election of the Group I invention is made with traverse because it is

believed that all of the claims of this application can be examined at the same time

without serious burden. While it is recognized that the two inventions may be

separately classified, it is believed that the search required for the elected invention

set forth in Claims 1-7 would likely extend into those areas where the non-elected

invention recited in claims 8 and 10-12 would be searched. In addition, examining

the claims directed to the non-elected invention in addition to those directed to the

elected invention would not require consideration of an unduly burdensome number

of additional claims.

In light of the foregoing, withdrawal of the restriction requirement, and

examination of all of the claims of this application, including Claims 1-7 and 8, 10-12

directed to the elected invention, are respectfully requested.

Should any questions arise in connection with this application, the

undersigned respectfully requests that he be contacted at the number indicated

below.

Respectfully submitted.

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: July 21, 2008

Registration No. 51522

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404

703 836 6620